

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE K		PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0006		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 17 December 2001	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. N/A		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY DEFENSE ENERGY SUPPORT CENTER 8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD, SUITE 4950 FT. BELVOIR, VA 22060-6222 BUYER/SYMBOL – M. NICHOLS ON/DESC-APP PHONE (703) 767-9652		CODE SCO600	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO., street, city, county, State, and ZIP Code)			X	9a. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. SP0600-01-R-0074	
				9b. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) June 4, 2001	
				10a. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10b. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<p>[X] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [X] is extended, [] is not extended To February 01, 2002 at 3:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (EST), Fort Belvoir, VA</p> <p>Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.</p>					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A. I2.05 CHANGES-FIXED PRICE (AUG 87)					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b)					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: FAR 43.01					
OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [] is not, [] is required to sign this document and return <u>1</u> copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)					
Please see following pages.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER Verna L. Velez		
15B. NAME OF CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR BY (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY <u>Verna L. Velez</u> (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 17 December 2001

The purpose of this amendment is to address questions proposed by a prospective offeror and to inform of the extension granted concerning the solicitation.

The closing date and time for receipt of offers for subject solicitation is hereby extended to February 01, 2002 at 3:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (EST), Fort Belvoir, VA

Questions and Answers

1. Q: Is any part of Fort Jackson a federal enclave?

A: Fort Jackson Legal Office has identified the entire installation as a federal enclave.

2. Q: What are the terms of each of the existing joint use agreement contracts? What is the status?

A: The contracts allow for use of government owned electrical poles at no charge.

3. Q: Are we to ignore the CCTV and telephone clearance violations?

A: Currently the Army has not identified CCTV and telephone clearance violations. The offeror(s) should identify specific violations that would impact the transfer of the electrical utility system and propose corrective measures that they would undertake via an initial upfront capital investment project if the violation warranted such action or over time in their renewal and replacement schedule such as when the poles deteriorated etc.

4. Q: Will we change out poles due to clearance violations?

A: Yes, if needed to upgrade system to the offeror(s) standard or to meet industry standards of code. Currently the Army exercises care when working on the electrical system in areas that are not up to the current standards until such time as the poles need to be replaced or the line needs to be upgraded or requires other significant maintenance work. The Army does not require an upgrade as a separate project just for correcting clearance violations. In the future the communication utility that wishes to install their lines on electrical power poles will be required to fund changes that are required to avoid clearance violations. If the contractor cannot ignore the violations, then the cost of and schedule for upgrading the system shall be included in the contractor's proposal.

5. Q: Can we charge the service provider for these expenses?

A: The contractor should include these expenses in their proposal and the agreements with the service provider will be changed to require the service provider to pay the new owner of the electrical distribution if/when the contract is awarded to the successful offeror. Until the service agreements are changed, the charges will be included in the invoices to the government.

6. Q: The conversion voltage is stated as 24.7/14.3, can this be altered to 13.8 or something close to a standard voltage?

A: Yes, Fort Jackson's intent was not for an immediate upgrade. Fort Jackson's intent was to require the contractor to propose how he would use components and designs during his routine maintenance and component replacements compatible with a higher voltage and eventually upgrade the voltage to a standard voltage. We were not expecting a plan to do an upgrade project immediately after the contractor takes ownership of the system. The contractor can propose another standard voltage.

7. Q: A conversion of the Base voltage will require a complete overhaul of the substation. This overhaul is estimated at +\$2 million. The Base will be required to pay this to the local utility and provide a site for the new substation. The conversion will require about 1.5 years from the time an electric service contract is signed. The conversion will also require numerous scheduled outages.
Have these issues been shared with Fort Jackson?
Have the MILCON funds been appropriated?
When will an electric service contract for this work be initiated?

A: Fort Jackson is aware of the requirement to upgrade the existing utility owned portion of the substation. This upgrade is in the long-range planning phase and there have been no MILCON funds requested/appropriated for this future project. It is envisioned that a financing arrangement will be worked with the current owner of the existing substations if and when this upgrade is required.