

Additional Questions to the RFP

- 1) The Army response to *Question No. 3 [Page 14 (C.2.7. Current Service Arrangement)]* states a new requirement for the Offeror. Will an Addendum to the RFP be issued for this new requirement? Since the cost to meet the manganese standard is dependent upon the volume of water to be treated, please include in the addendum the volume of water to be treated.

ANSWER: Manganese abatement is a requirement and must be addressed. The volume of water to be treated is dependent on the strategy adopted by the offer. Possible solutions can include treatment, well abandonment, mixing, or another strategy deemed viable by the bidder. The volume of water to be treated is dependent on the amount directly used from the affected wells.

- 2) The Army response to *Question No. 6 [Page 16 (C.4.2. Use of Distribution Systems to Serve Areas Outside the Installation Service Area)]* stated that the SIAD “shall provide notice to all current water and wastewater customers to inform them of the expected date that we will no longer provide service to them.” The Army response to Question No. 26 states that “There is no requirement to physically separate the system.” Question No. 6 remains unanswered. What procedure is to be followed to obtain concurrence to use the privatized system to serve outside the Installation Service Area? In essence, what procedure must the Offeror follow to obtain concurrence from the Army to continue to use the ground level reservoir to serve the fire protection needs of the non-Depot customers?

ANSWER: The bidder must define all prospective services to outside services and negotiate with the installation prior to any commitments. The government reserves the right to approve any distribution and service of utilities to outside entities. The company must define the prospective service and quantify the impact on the installation’s assets and be assured that the installation requirements and expected growth is satisfied before any commitment is allowed to service outside requests. The contracting officer must approve and issue a modification to the utility privatization contract to allow the outside service.

- 3) The Army response to *Question No. 7 [Page 20 (C.7. Response to Service Interruptions and Contingencies)]* states that events such as “inadequated treatment capacity to fulfill the Depot’s required service levels...the Depot shall” be eligible for a monetary credit. Since this RFP does not include water as a commodity and does not have the records to state a water demand (*see Army Response to Question No. 17*), please state the Depot’s required service level for the water system.

ANSWER: Reported flows over the past 5 years averages 380 million gallons per year. During irrigation months usage reached 2 million gallons per day, while winter use averaged 185,000 gallons per day. SIAD anticipates a 15% increase in demand over the next 10 years. These figures are the best available for required demand.

- 4) The Army response to *Question No. 14 [Community Water System Permit]* states that “Sierra is still reviewing the Draft Water Permit...”. The California Department of Health Services states the Public Water System No. 1810700 for SIAD was issued on March 22, 2004. When will the application and Technical, Managerial, and Financial Report be available for review at the SIAD Library?

ANSWER: There are no Technical, Managerial and Financial reports concerning the Permit

- 5) The Army response to *Question No. 20 [Gravity Collection Mains]* discusses the question of overflows at the Cantonment Ponds and states that a video inspection of the sewer has not been performed. Please respond to the two other items: 1) Current status of infiltration and inflow; and 2) the last three years history of **sanitary sewer** overflows.

ANSWER: An estimation of infiltration and inflow has not been done. There have been no known sanitary sewer overflows in the last three years.